

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_84079
Title of the Manuscript:	FARMERS' PERCEPTION ON INSECT POLLINATORS DECLINE AND CONSERVATION METHODS IN HIMACHAL HIMALAYA, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	# The study lacked the scientific method in determining the factors affecting the studied phenomenon, and focused on the results and interpretations of previous studies. # The researcher did not mention the size of the sample to which the questionnaire was distributed, in addition to the fact that he did not indicate the validity and reliability coefficient of the questionnaire. # There is no description for the statistics procedure and there is no control region to detect the effect of the study assumption factors. # The majority of the study focused on honey bees and ignored other insect pollinators.	#This study has been done to assess farmers' knowledge about pollinators decline and management practices. #Mentioned now the sample size of the farmers surveyed # Calculated the %age for comparison purpose # This study stressed on honey bees being efficient and most abundant pollinators.
Minor REVISION comments	There are many notes fixed on the manuscript text that need to be corrected.	Needful has been done
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	