

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_83828
Title of the Manuscript:	TELESCOPIC REMOVAL OF A SUBGLOTTIC FOREIGN BODY IN A CHILD. A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The content of the Discussion section seems to be very similar to the result section. Although there is a paragraph denoting the advantage of the Telescopic approach, it appears that most of the Discussion should be based on the previous methods and the new one. Besides, it should be stated if the Telescopic removal is done by the researcher, or some other papers exit doing the new method. And if there are other papers doing the Telescopic approach.	Thanks, Noted
Minor REVISION comments	Minor editing revisions mentioned within the main Word text.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Legal guardians of the child should be provided by informed consent. Please state in the text, if this has been done. Unless, the informed consent should be provided first.</p>	