

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_83583
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of biopesticides and natural preparations on the regulation of diseases and pests in the ecological protection of tomatoes (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> L.) and cucumbers (<i>Cucumis sativus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the ABSTRACT part, the expression of the results is too general, and not very clear, and the abstract should be in one paragraph.2. In the INTRODUCTION part, what's the organic protection system? and there were other similar studies? The meaning of your work also need be clear.3. In the MATERIALS AND METHODS part, the conventional pesticides, biopesticides and natural preparations should be detailed, including Ingredients and manufacturers. A season repeat should be added. How get the crop disease, inoculation of pathogenic bacteria or natural disease? Which disease? These question needed been described detailly.4. In the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION part, there are so many treatments, and make reader confused. Table 2 and table 3 can be integrate into one table. What's the difference between K-I and K-II? the discussion is insufficient and mostly is the description of data. Add the convictive discussion is necessary.5. Statistical analysis is missing in all the tables and figs in this manuscript.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1.ABSTRACT is fixed.2.INTRODUCTION part is fixed.3.MATERIAL AND METHODS part is fixed. We added more information about preparations and treatments, also we switched tables related to preparations from part "Results and discussion", to make it easier for readers. Disease was naturally and it is added.4.RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: Table 2 and 3 are integrated into the one table. Difference between K-I and K-II is in treatment program (the different pesticides are used what you can see in table 2). Generally, K is label for "Cucumber" and P is label for "Tomato". Discussion is fixed, there are added some similar research.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">6. There are many format mistakes, like "variety of cucumber „Dugi zeleni (eng. Long green)", and need check total the article one by one.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">6.It's fixed.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	