

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_81181
Title of the Manuscript:	Qualitative Assessment of Reaching Movement pattern using Reach-To-Grasp Task on Albino Wistar Rat Fed with Fufu
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The research paper is of new idea about a common food in their country It is better to say that in the introduction of the research that there is a number of patients suffering due to eating this food</p> <p>In introduction The authors should add how that food is common in their country, the statistics of use this food among the community. Also, the statistics of people suffering from diseases due to eating this type of food.</p> <p>Need to revise the language and grammar in the paper.</p> <p>Should add tables for the finding in addition to the photos.</p> <p>What about the weight of the animals in comparison with the control group.</p>	<p>Currently there is no known published statistics on Konzo in Nigeria. As such we cannot place any prevalence value for the disease in Nigeria. Fufu is a staple food in the middle belt and the entire southern parts of Nigeria.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	