

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_71131
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis of Sulfanoquinoxaline-2,3-dione hydrazone Derivatives As a selective inhibitor for Acetylcholinesterase and Butyryl cholinesterase.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The theme looks interesting</li><li>The abstract needs to improve qualitatively</li><li>The authors need to write in scientific language, the manuscript is in schematic form.</li><li>The manuscript did not present the objective</li><li>The text should have a clear sequence, with pleasant characteristic to read and any reader can understand.</li><li>In the material and methods, the authors wrote many chemical formulisms and spoke of the IR spectra and did not show or discuss theses spectra.</li><li>The result and discussion are very poor, need to clarify more and compare their results with the literature.</li><li>Improve completion Updating the literature, the most recent was 10 years ago.</li></ul>	Ok Improved Done the revision  Noted and provided Effectuated  Noted  Revised as suggested  Improved as indicated
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Major revision	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	