

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_84184
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Leaf Extract and Powder of Ipomoea asarifolia for Control of Root-knot Nematode (Meloidogyne javanica) on Okra
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction At the end of the Introduction section the study objectives need to be clearly written.</p> <p>Materials and Methods The section should be structured.</p> <p>The experimental site</p> <p>The biological materials used</p> <p>Preparation of plant extract at the end</p> <p>Statistical analysis</p> <p>Results and Discussion In the case of the Key or Legend Why here you say....TO and inside the textCT? Please use the same notation.</p> <p>For the Figure 2:</p> <p>If the Key was written, please use for the X axis of the Figure 2, as for the Figure 1: CT T1 T2 T3 T4</p> <p>Below the Table 1: <i>All means in the same column bearing the same letters are not significantly different at $p=0.05$</i></p> <p>But, as we can see, there are not any letters near the means values, so???</p> <p><i>SE-Standard error</i></p> <p>Do you want to say.... SEM - the standard error of the mean?because in the Table we can see.....SEM</p> <p>Attention to the Table 1 and Table 2 titles!</p> <p>I understood that your studies have been carried out on okra, not eggplant ?! Please modify the Tables titles. As I said before, where are there the letters in the Tables?</p> <p>For the conclusion,</p> <p>I suggest..... The reducing of the galling index and nematodes population, as well as the improving the growth parameters as against the untreated plants shows that Ipomoea asarifolia has</p>	<p>Corrections have been made</p> <p>Has been sructured</p>

Review Form 1.6

	nematicidal qualities and may be used for okra plants, as a substitute for harmful and environmentally unfriendly nematicides.	Corrections have been made
Minor REVISION comments	Other observations can be found also on the manuscript.	Corrections have been made
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues