

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_84009
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of rainfall trend on irrigated agriculture of Narayanapura Command
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for providing me the opportunity to review the paper on precipitation trends in southwestern India. I enjoyed the piece and I found the paper to be well organized and generally well written. The key references are cited, the abstract is informative, and the length is fine for this journal. I was particularly impressed with the statistical approaches to the issue -- I was not familiar with the Innovative Trend Analysis method and found it to be an important part of the paper. Finding a decrease in monsoon precipitation is publishable enough, and adding the link to irrigation requirements was a nice addition.</p> <p>There are plenty of places with unusual punctuation and/or unnecessary capitalization.</p> <p>"Data" should always be treated as the plural of datum.</p> <p><b>Figure 1 could be improved in so many ways.</b></p> <p>The colorful maps in Figures 7 and 11 are far too small to be read easily, and there is no color bar for a better interpretation. Similarly, Figure 9 has so many far too small plots for anyone to read them easily.</p> <p>Finally, the authors might add "India" of "Southwestern India" somewhere in the title.</p>	<p>The Authors express sincere gratefulness for the review of manuscript and valuable comments.</p> <p>The manuscript is revised accordingly. Request to kindly refer the following comments along with the revised manuscript.</p> <p>The unusual punctuations, unnecessary capitalization has been rectified wherever observed</p> <p>"Data" used in sentences is suitably paraphrased</p> <p>Figure 1 is revised</p> <p>Figure 7 and Figure 11 both are revised to visible scale.</p> <p>Figure 9 has been revised for readability</p> <p>The title is modified accordingly.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	