

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_82214
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE (C.A): AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT IN THE ADOPTION OF C.A PRACTICES IN EASTERN KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract</b> is extremely generalized</p> <p>It must have some of the things in a research article</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Brief introduction of subject (Presented)</li><li>2. Study's main objective</li><li>3. Methods</li><li>4. Results interpretation</li><li>5. Concluding remarks</li><li>6. Recommendation on the basis of findings</li></ol> <p><b>Introduction</b> of the study is not pretty comprehensive</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The references used are not the recent ones as latest reference mentioned is of 2010...</li><li>2. Introduction misses the core part (Areas of adoption and CA practices) that have been practised in other developed countries as reference</li><li>3. Most importantly Hypothesis and objectives are missing</li></ol> <p>I think the part of research article</p> <p><b>(Materials and Methods or Methodology)</b></p> <p>Must have to be included here as without hat part it is not possible for me to understand what the writers have done and how they are presenting the results</p> <p>Even there must not have to be only discussion part but there must be a <b>results</b> part with or separately from discussion</p>	<p>Done</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	There are lot many editing mistakes. The placement of paragraphs, placement of tables, figures is needed to be formatted.	Okay
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The Paper is addressing a key issue regarding Arid to Semi-Arid climates and have unique novelty.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	