

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_81752
Title of the Manuscript:	TEA PLANTATION COMPANIES CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS: QUALITATIVE INQUIRY IN SRI LANKA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Sample size is relatively small, only 10 samples. Where are the results, I could not find and results. It just like explaining SDGs in own ways. What responses have collected from 10 surveyed tea plantation companies is also missing.	<p>The population of this study includes all the tea plantation companies in Sri Lanka. In order to obtain the desired sample size for the interview, the tea plantation companies that are registered on the Colombo Stock Exchange in Sri Lanka were considered. Because of the high cost of implementing the UN SDGs, it is not practical to implement the SDGs for small and medium-sized tea plantation companies. And in Sri Lanka, there are only a few registered tea plantation companies operating. Most of the tea plantation companies are not public listed companies (PLC). So, accordingly, 10 tea plantation companies I selected as the sample.</p> <p>In the "Finding" section of the report, I provided the full results that I gathered from the responses of the 10 tea plantation companies.I ask for their contribution to each of the 17 UN SDGs. And also, I am using the thematic analysis method. So, I provided some quotations that I gathered from interviewees in the finding section. Also, to show that it's not my own idea, I renamed those quotations as TPC1, TPC2 (Tea planataion company 1), etc. Because sometimes they provide some confidential information, So it is not ethical to provide their actual company names.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	