

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_81752
Title of the Manuscript:	TEA PLANTATION COMPANIES CONTRIBUTION TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS: QUALITATIVE INQUIRY IN SRI LANKA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljaeri.com/index.php/JAERI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The paper lacks clear statement of the objectives. The paper presented three objectives that were not clearly stated and presented</li><li>2. The literature review lacks clear discussion of the issues. I suggest the author should summarise the literature in a table form with the following headings: (1) Tea company (2) literature source (3) SDG type (4) nature of the company's contribution (5) comment</li><li>3. Objective of the study ,Literature review</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The main objectives of the report are presented as the 2 key questions, so accordingly, there are 2 main objectives: (1) Examine what are the main approaches that are used by tea plantation companies to comply with the SDGs and (2) Examine how the SDGs assist the long-term strategic objectives of the tea plantation companies to accomplish a sustainable future.</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Abstract</b>	<p>The abstract has not been well presented particularly in terms of the findings. The findings should align with the three objectives presented and analysed in the body of the work .</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The perception of tea planters regarding SDGs</li><li>2. SDGs practices of the company</li><li>3. The role of SDGs in the long-term strategic objectives of the tea plantation companies</li></ol>	I changed the abstract in a meaningful way according to the findings.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Check the referencing guidelines of the journal for proper citation and referencing	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	