

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JABB_85909 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Screening for the Probiotic Activities of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from the Gastrointestinal Tract of Traditional Poultry in Côte d'Ivoire |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | The manuscript entitled "Screening for the Probiotic Activities of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from the Gastrointestinal Tract of Traditional Poultry in Côte d'Ivoire" is a good study related to probiotics for poultry use. The study is well documented and easy to understand There should be little information about the study area ie Côte d'Ivoire. About its location and climate | Information about location and climate in Côte d'Ivoire is given in the Introduction section In the Introduction section, the reviewer suggested to add reference for each quoted beneficial effect of probiotic. As the reference we gave in the manuscript quoted all these benefits, we did not follow this suggestion. |
| Minor REVISION comments | Procedure of methos like Cell surface hydrophobicity, Auto-aggregation and co-aggregation assays, Antagonistic activity against pathogens, Antibiotic sensitivity test need not to be described. Only reference is required. | We described methods as we made slight modifications in each of them |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |