

The study area is interesting and tries to exploit untouched resources for medicinal plants. However, the whole write-up of the document need serious rewriting based on the following comments:

Title

Write the scientific name of the plant as *Ximenia americana* not *Ximenia Americana*. **Correction effected.**

Introduction

The introduction part need to be completely reworked. The previous works and justification of the work is not clearly written. Please rewrite the introduction with sufficient justification. Please see also the grammar and spelling errors seriously. **This has been taking care of**

Material and methods

The exact location of sample collection area needs to be specified; **it has been included**

On section 2.4 it expresses the percentage yield of the root extract. What component did you specifically extract from this plant root? Please clarify it. **Stated and corrected**

Please use mL, not ml. uses the symbol for degree celcius. Replace µl with µL. **All symbols corrected as recommended by this reviewer**

Please rewrite all equations using equation editor. **Used to write the two equations in the manuscript.**

Result and discussion

In section 3.1 you explained “The percentage yield of the methanol extract of the plant was found to be 20.06%.” what is this extract? Please clearly specify the extract. Besides, it needs to be rewritten clearly. **Extract specified, and sentence clearly written.**

The authors repeatedly wrote Garlic, please correct it to the Gallic throughout the document. **GALLIC spelling corrected**

In section 3.2 you mentioned that “it can be deduced that the extract possesses a good antioxidant potential”. What is your reference to have such conclusion? The result lacks comparison with other similar works which need to be incorporated in the document. **The R^2 value which is a correlation coefficient was used to arrive at the conclusion. However, the statement has been rewritten to address the reviewer’s comment and observation.**

Generally, the document has poor coherence to discuss the result. I strongly recommend the author to rework again in the introduction and result and discussion part. **Done**