

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_84518
Title of the Manuscript:	Applications of corn silk for Pb, Zn and Cu removal: batch and fixed-bed column biosorption study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article titled “Applications of corn silk for Pb, Zn and Cu removal: batch and fixed-bed column biosorption study”.</p> <p>1. Marija Petrović, Tatjana Šoštarić, Mirjana Stojanović, Jelena Petrović, Marija Mihajlović, Aleksandar Ćosović, Slavka Stanković, Mechanism of adsorption of Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺ on the corn silk (Zea mays L.), Ecological Engineering, Volume 99, 2017, Pages 83-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.11.057.</p> <p>2. Marija Petrović, Tatjana Šoštarić, Mirjana Stojanović, Jelena Milojković, Marija Mihajlović, Marija Stanojević, Slavka Stanković, Removal of Pb²⁺ ions by raw corn silk (Zea mays L.) as a novel biosorbent, Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers, Volume 58, 2016, Pages 407-416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2015.06.025.</p> <p>As long as the source of corn silk was collected from the same area { Belgrade (Serbia)}.</p> <p>Thank you for giving us this opportunity to review this work</p>	<p>Thank you for your suggestion.</p> <p>For our investigation we are used the corn silk obtained from the local landfill near the Belgrade. Since, this study is a part of our investigation it was expected that the same material was used in our previously published papers.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	