

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IRJPAC_83698</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A CONVENIENT SYNTHESIS OF 3-ARYL-5-HYDROXYALKYL-1,2,4-OXADIAZOLES FROM <math>\alpha</math>-HYDROXY ESTERS AND AMIDOXIMES UNDER SOLVENT-FREE CONDITIONS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript titled “<b>A convenient synthesis of 3-aryl-5-hydroxyalkyl-1,2,4-oxadiazoles from <math>\alpha</math>-hydroxy esters and amidoximes under solvent-free conditions</b>” is interesting, promising, and well-written. And it showed a convenient synthesis of 3-aryl-5-hydroxyalkyl-1,2,4-oxadiazoles without use of any solvent and base. However, I have the following observation and requests:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Request authors to include spectra of synthesized compounds or prepare a supplementary file. And did you run the analysis of spectra through simultaneous running of synthesized products and standard compounds?</li><li>2) Request authors to work on improving the obvious grammatical and syntax errors in the entire manuscript. In some places language is tedious and some expressions are not proper.</li><li>3) Request authors to include the pharmacological importance of synthesized compounds in the discussion section.</li><li>4) Request to cross-check references, whether they have been cited correctly or not.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Requirement met supplementary file (Added)</li><li>2) Requirement met Yellow correction in the text.</li><li>3) Pharmacological importance Inclusion in abstract Inclusion in the methodology Inclusion in results Inclusion in conclusion</li><li>4) Requirement met Yellow correction in the text. Including adding new references</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	