

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_79080
Title of the Manuscript:	Electrooxidation of iohexol in its commercial formulation omnipaque on boron doped diamond electrode
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This research are commonly and predominantly use products in special area of research. There is absolutely no conflict of interest of the products and research.</p> <p>Effect of the number of potential scanning cycles</p> <p>Influence of varying IOX concentration</p> <p>Influence of temperature variation</p> <p>Are three discussion make very interesting result. so there are no need for revision.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>$E_p = 0.1379 \log v + 2.5314$ (5)</p> <p>With E_p: peak potential (V) and v: scan rate ($V s^{-1}$). The Laviron expression corresponding to an irreversible electrode process [28, 29] is described by the following equation:</p> <p>$E_p = E^0 + \left(\frac{2.303RT}{\alpha n F} \right) \log \left(\frac{RTk^0}{\alpha n F} \right) + \left(\frac{2.303RT}{\alpha n F} \right) \log v$ (6)</p> <p>Equations in some typing form are in error so it must be need to correction.</p>	<p>Answer: Corrected (See the manuscript)</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Manuscript of paper are lookes in best quality. All parameters of discussion are make very interested Result and conclution.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	