

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_86439
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Effect of Aqueous Extract of Solanum torvum Leaf on Liver of treated rats
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalirjgh.com/index.php/IRJGH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	25 experimental animals are mentioned in the abstract while 20 animals are mentioned in the methodology. Values of conjugated and total Bilirubin are too high in mg/dl, these values may be in μmol/L. ALP values should be rechecked. In centrifugation procedure RPM should be mentioned.	1. Thanks for your observation, the needful has been done. 2. Thanks for your observation, this may have to do with the choice of the presenter, what matters more to me is the accuracy. 3. On ALP, no defined query was issue, I may not be able to respond, thanks 4. On centrifugation, it may be RPM as you had indicated or xg as I had indicated, I think is better presented the way I did.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Keywords should be arranged alphabetically.	5. Thanks for your observation, the needful has been done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Line spacing should be uniform in the manuscript.	6. On line spacing, the final article will handle

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	