

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_85726
Title of the Manuscript:	Colorectal Cancer Screening: Insights From a National Survey of Patients and Healthcare Providers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalirjgh.com/index.php/IRJGH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No	
Minor REVISION comments	In discussion maybe need to speak more if there was any relation between family history of CRC and choosing colonoscopy as method of screening either for physicians or patients. As this factor is very important to decide which method of screening you need to use and recommend. Also, it is may be worth knowing if these patients and physicians had any symptoms (constipation, bleeding per rectum, weight loss, etc) which affected their choice of screening methods?	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Excellent comment and agree but we felt this was beyond scope of survey data which is the pivotal presentation never previously done and orchestrated by 2 international KOLs with the concert of the WebMD platform2. Good question but beyond scope of this internet survey albeit those are signs/symptoms- the questions were focused on screening (which would be without signs/symptoms)
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- I suggest to add the current guidelines and recommendations of CRC screening with sensitivity of each test.2- I think patients younger than 50 years old with strong family history should be included in such study.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Agree with both comments. As this report is a focus on the survey data and not a review on CRC screening, we chose to keep focus, albeit excellent comment2. Recognizably the ACS guidelines as well as USMSTF all recommend start screening at 45- the survey questions were done before this change. Clearly would be an excellent adjust for subsequent surveys.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	none