

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJGH_85726
Title of the Manuscript:	Colorectal Cancer Screening: Insights From a National Survey of Patients and Healthcare Providers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalirjgh.com/index.php/IRJGH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	CRC is now a serious public health problem all over the world. This is a well-designed study for an interesting topic. Here I only have three minor issues for the authors to consider. (1) In the "Introduction" part, basic information about what people are with the high risk for CRC should be mentioned. Similarly, about the CRC screening methods, the applicability of each method for whom it should be applied should be introduced. (2) For table 2-4, I wonder whether gender and age would affect the action of the patients. If so, please also list the data of different genders and ages. (3) The COI section is somehow highlighted in yellow. Please correct it.	1 – We agree and have added verbiage to mention . Additionally, ages to begin screening and preferred strategies are relative to high and low risk definitions ⁸ 2 – Gender is unavailable from the data for physicians, so we could only action age. We examined age, and there were little descriptive differences. We incorporated a future direction for research in the conclusions related to this point. 3 - done
Optional/General comments		

Comment [LK(1): DJ, please respond

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None noted