

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_87891
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship between Sexual Dysfunctions and Depression in Premenopausal Women
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: Write introduction part. In methodology include sampling technique also. In conclusion write study findings and recommendation. Materials and method: Sample size calculation is needed. Sampling technique is needed (age group of premenopausal women). No need to write all the scales briefly in your article. Include ethical consideration and consent in materials and methods. Copy paste of unrelated things below the table 1. Modified Fahmy and Sherbiny scale for socioeconomic status is unclear, how you categorize it and write heading inside your table that is socioeconomic status. For QOL also write heading inside table. Discussions: write similarity and contrast with your study. In recommendation, you have written of mental patient but it is excluded in your study. Add keywords: anxiety scale, depression scale, quality of life	Noted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	