

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_87396
Title of the Manuscript:	NEUROPSYCHIATRIC SYNDROME IN CHOROIDEREMIA- A RARE CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The Authors report a patient suffering from choroideremia diagnosed at his 30's and who at the age of 65 years develops an extrapyramidal syndrome with frequent falls, behavioral disorders and cognitive deficit.</p> <p>There are some critical issues:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1) recent worsening may be due to brain trauma;2) the Mini Mental is a screening test: a more complete evaluation is needed. Equally, behavioral disorders must be quantified using appropriate scale;3) extrapyramidal symptoms should be quantified using the Hoehn-Yahr scale;4) random blood sugar levels are 142 mg/ml: what are the normal values of the laboratory?5) Add photos of the fundus and MRI;6) The data do not allow the diagnosis of probable Alzheimer's disease and the association can be fortuitous. This possibility must be taken into account in the discussion.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1.Brain trauma and its consequences mentioned.2.ACE 3 was administered,Neuropsychiatric Inventory scale applied.3.Hoen -Yahr applied and stage mentioned.4.Raised sugar levels in diabetic range mentioned.5.Fundus and MRI photos added.6.Alzheimer's disease was discussed and its probability due to risk factors explained.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Nil