

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_84108
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Associated Factors of Internet Addiction among Clinical Medical Students of a Nigerian Private University
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a cross sectional study aiming to determine the prevalence and associated factors of internet addiction among undergraduate clinical medical students of a Nigerian private university. Since there are many studies on this subject, it is important to emphasize in detail what the study adds to the literature. It would be appropriate to emphasize especially on cultural differences.</p> <p>My recommendations for the study are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What the study contributes to the literature and which gaps it fills should be explained in the introduction.2. The hypothesis of the study should be given in the introduction.3. In the study, it should be stated in which language the scales and questionnaires were made. If a language other than English is used, the psychometric properties of the adapted version of the IAT test should be stated accordingly.4. It should be explained how variables are included in the regression models in statistical analysis.5. Findings and discussion sections should be separated from each other.6. Discussion section should be developed. Analysis and comments should be added, especially by comparing the studies in Nigeria and the findings of the studies in the countries of the region other than Nigeria or in other continents.7. A more meaningful conclusion should be added.	<p>Thank you for your review and comments. The following changes have been effected based on your recommendations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What the study contributes to the literature has been explained in the 6th paragraph of the introduction as highlighted in the manuscript.2. The hypothesis of the study has also been given in the introduction.3. The language in which the scales and questionnaires were made was English and has been stated in the study protocols section.4. It has been explained how the variables in the regression model were included in statistical analysis as highlighted.5. The findings and discussion sections have been separated.6. The discussion section has been modified accordingly.7. The conclusion has been modified.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	