

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_86306
Title of the Manuscript:	Overview of Brazilian Malaria Incidence from environmental, economic, and spatial factors in Amazon and extra Amazon region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>TITLE The authors have started almost each word with an upper case, but surprisingly, they have not done that consistently with other words within the same title. Please revisit.</p> <p>ABSTRACT 1. L3- Delete 'that lives'. It makes the sentence sound ambiguous</p> <p><i>'due to brain injuries, among others. Malaria breeds poverty and poverty breeds Malaria. Through the Factorial Planning method: A brief review, data were collected in all Brazilian regions affected by the disease. The research strategy consisted of the use of the keywords in English: 1. Climate Change in Brazil; 2. Anopheles Darling vector life cycle; 3. Impact of climate change on the life cycle of Anopheles Darling; 4. Sensitive socioeconomic factors'.</i></p> <p><i>Comments</i> 2. I don't understand what brain injuries are being referred to in the text. I suggest that this word should be dropped. 3. Again drop the analogy of 'Malaria breeds poverty and poverty breeds malaria' 4. Just indicate that the Factorial planning method was used in the current study. The hows can be explained in much detail in the main text under your methods</p> <p>INTRODUCTION 5. Figure 2, the footnote should read 'Modified after Merck & Co (2020)'</p>	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Pleas try as much as possible to write concise sentences. Most of your sentences are running sentences. You can request the editor for an edited copy(with my comments in track changes). I have made some adjustments/ revisions to some of the sentences/paragraphs and intext edits. This should however, not to be mistaken to an extended service of the journal. It is just out of my discretion as a reviewer.</p>	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	