

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_85933
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychosocial impact of COVID-19 tests and positive results on clinical students screened during the second wave of COVID-19 pandemic in Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Very well written article.	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor concerns which need explanation: 1. Table 3: Number of subjects hospitalization is less than those who got surgical operations and illness treatment. 2. Table 6: Outcome of Covid test is don't know in 3 subjects.	Thank you foe the observation. We reported this as observed in the analysis as the responses of the subjects without altering it. However, we have reversed it (13 for hospitalization, and 6 for surgical operation) to make scientific sense. Table 6: We understand this to mean an expression of unwillingness to disclose the outcome of the test (in the few subjects) in the questionnaire-based study instrument
Optional/General comments	I would like to congratulate all the authors for writing such a nice article.	A BIG THANK YOU FOR THE ENCOURAGEMENT!

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	