

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_85704
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Hospital Deliveries in Tharparkar and its Determinants; A Descriptive Study-2020
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Prevalence of Hospital Deliveries in Tharparkar and its Determinants; A Descriptive Study-2020 - Is it a prevalence study? And in 2020?</p> <p>The study was conducted in Tharparkar district of Pakistan from 1 September 2019 to 30 April 2020. However the data was collected in the month of November to December 2019 after the approval by ERB committee. - Contradictory statements</p> <p>The average age of CBA – The average of CBA? - CBA needs expansion</p> <p>Simple random sample technique was adopted to arrive the 544 women of reproductive age at the area level. - How this is done is not mentioned</p> <p>It is not clear whether the index delivery considered for the study was the latest one or all deliveries by the respondent. If multiple deliveries were considered per CBA then the analysis is confounded by the multiparty status.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Yes sir it is prevalence study with analysis of factors for its prevalence. However as per the suggestion of reviewer the title of study has slightly been changed.2. The study was approved in September, however the IRB has reservation on the age bracket of CBA. So the data collection was started in the month of November 2019 and data collection was completed in December 2019. However the statement has been rephrased to Prevalence of Hospital Deliveries in Tharparkar and its Determinants.3. This was a multistage sampling. However at the Area level we use simple random technique by tossing a coin for direction and then leaving two houses in urban set up while one house in rural set up.4. The prevalence was assessed in the deliveries in which respondent were delivered at least once in past three years in order to have less recall biases and to check whether trends have changed in near past or not.s
Minor REVISION comments	<p>CBAs is not expanded in first use in abstract. hose hold level to be spelt household The district is well known for its high maternal mortality ratios in the country. Sentence needs improvement Inline referencing needs improvement in format.</p> <p>Tables 1 and 2 are duplicate information and can be clubbed</p> <p>P values can be reported as such and not as <0.01</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1.2. The CBA has been pronounced in Abstract.3. The district has maternal mortality ratio in the country.4. Table 1 is omitted.5. All P Values are now reported as such with omission of > signs.
Optional/General comments	<p>Grammar editing is required eg. The collected data were kept in the lock and key, the odds ratio were calculated, We recommended that rescheduling timing of the MCHC and so on</p> <p>A bias of non-inclusion of CBAs under the age of 18 is involved.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">6. The grammar check has been done and some sentences has been omitted or rephrased.7. The CBA definition was adopted from international literature which is 18 to 45 years.

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	No there are no any ethical issue in the study.