

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_85492
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PRACTICES OF HAND HYGIENE AMONG MEDICAL AND NURSING STUDENTS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the title what type of study not mentioned.</p> <p>In Abstract, the total number of words not more than 250.</p> <p>In Abstract part not mentioned what static all analysis was used (SPSS version).</p> <p>What test was used between different groups?</p> <p>Key words should write in MESH terminology.</p> <p>Study write in MESH terminology.</p> <p>Study write in IMRAD format.</p> <p>Ethical approval was obtained from the year, Ethical approval number not mentioned.</p> <p>Materials & methods write in the order ; study design, study sample, Research methodology, study Questionnaire, Inclusion criteria and Exclusion criteria, Data management and analysis, Stastical analysis.</p> <p>Results, Discussion, Conclusion, Limitations, Recommendations.</p> <p>References should be write in Journal instructions.Vancouver style.</p>	<p>I appreciate this feedback from the reviewer and I have implemented the ones I understood. I honestly do not understand MESH terminology. The website gives 300 as the word limit for the Abstract segment. References were computed with Zotero reference manager software with the Vancouver writing style, and all segments of the material and methods are reflected, I do not agree that there has to be a rigid specific order. I believe I have done my best to comply with the journals instructions, as stated on the website.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract should write according the journals instructions.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>References should be write in journal instructions.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	