

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_84952
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and factors associated with diabetes in Côte d'Ivoire: a cross-sectional study in the country's adult population.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Concept is nice and well appreciated.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Revise manuscript completely and re-submit.2. Introduction to be re-written.3. Gaps of similar studies to be added4. References are out of format	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We have revised the manuscript where we felt it was possible to do so.2. We could not change the Introduction because we did not know exactly what needed to be changed and in which direction to make the requested changes.3. We have tried to add other studies as requested. By reading the revised manuscript, the Reviewer will be able to see the additions.4. The format of the references has been reviewed and corrected to conform to the journal's instructions.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Punctuation and grammatical changes.2. Discussion part should compare and contrast with previous studies.3. State the bottom-line of research findings.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We have tried to correct punctuation problems and grammatical changes.2. We have taken this comment into account by making a comparison with the previous study made in 19793. We have tried to present the results of the research in the conclusion.
Optional/General comments	<p>Perform a thorough and comprehensive search first and rewrite the article.</p> <p>Concept is nice and well taken.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	