

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_84934
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaccine Hesitancy and Acceptability of COVID-19 Vaccines among Students in Medical Specialities. The University Aden, Yemen.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. The whole manuscript should be checked for typos and grammatical errors. There are various types of errors in the manuscript. An overall review is needed for fixing the grammatical and typos errors in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. The Abstract should contain answers to the following questions: What problem was studied and why is it important? What methods were used? What are the important results? What conclusions can be drawn from the results? What is the novelty of the work and where does it go beyond previous efforts in the literature?</p> <p>3. The Introduction should make a compelling case for why the study is useful along with a clear statement of its novelty or originality by providing relevant information and providing answers to basic questions such as: What is already known in the open literature? What is missing (i.e., research gaps)? What needs to be done, why and how? Clear statements of the novelty of the work should also appear briefly in the Abstract and Conclusions sections.</p> <p>4. A professional proof-reading is required for the whole manuscript.</p> <p>5. The authors should explain the limitations of this work in the introduction section.</p> <p>6. The authors should explain why the study is useful with a clear statement of novelty or originality by providing relevant information in the introduction and conclusion sections.</p> <p>7. The author should add some more discussions on figures and numerical simulation in the conclusion and introduction section.</p> <p>8. What do you suggest to readers about this work?</p> <p>9. The authors should provide the future scope of the work in the conclusion section.</p>	<p>1. DONE</p> <p>2. DONE</p> <p>3. It was described in the last paragraph of the introduction that reflects the originality of the study as the following statement “In Yemen, according to our knowledge no available study related to the level of acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine among medically related university students”. DONE</p> <p>4. DONE</p> <p>5. The authors refer to the authors guideline instructions where the limitation was located at the end of the discussion part. DONE</p> <p>6. The author's comment in this part was taken into consideration in the last part of the introduction as stated: “it is assumed that level of vaccine literacy would be high among our university students particularly if we consider that our graduated from the college of medicine and relevant specialty soon will become a physician and qualified healthcare worker with close contact with their patients and expected to play a role model in their community.”</p> <p>7. DONE</p> <p>8. It is suggested that investigating this stratum of medical specialty in the community must indicate the importance to work hard in developing the medical curriculum to involve more some educational elements related to the current pandemics and health-related measures to prevent and control it. DONE</p> <p>9. DONE</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	