

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_84836
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PRE-PROCEDURE ANXIETY LEVELS ON POST-PROCEDURE PAIN SCORES IN WOMEN UNDERGOING HYSTEROSALPINGOGRAPHY IN SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<div>1. Include a t-test table for pre and post results. Include Cohen's d in that table too.</div> <div>2. Discussion is weak. You should also focus on the reasons of anxiety in the sample; discuss cultural pressures in this regard; for help see and cite the following article <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22463">http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22463</a></div>	<div>Thank you very much for your review. It has made this paper better.</div> <div>1. A table on t-test for pre and post results have been included in the manuscript as Table 4.</div> <div>2. The reasons of anxiety in the sample and discussion on cultural pressures in this regard have both been added to the discussion, and highlighted in yellow. Your article was also cited. This paper is not on anxiety and infertility. <b>It is on anxiety and pain perception following hysterosalpingography. They are two different subject matters.</b> However, since you suggested that we add to the discussion, and cite your paper, it has been added to the discussion, and your paper has been cited.</div>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The words "levels" and "scores" are unnecessary in the title; may be removed.	The title as it is, better explains what the subject matter is all about. Therefore, the authors prefer to leave the title the way it is.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	