

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE &amp; Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_84320
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance Analysis and Evaluation of Quantitative Real Time PCR for Diagnosis of Scrub Typhus in North-East India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Please keep the text of headings separate, especially the heading 2.8 and others.</li><li>2. Define the criterion for ELISA negative but highly suspected samples in the manuscript.</li><li>3. Properly label the figures and tables and cite them in the text.</li><li>4. Discussion can be improvised by citing the places where other studies in India were conducted on scrub typhus instead of only mentioning the name of authors.</li></ol>	<b>Replies:</b> Please find the below mentioned corrections in the main manuscript  1. Kindly find in the manuscript, corrected and highlighted; page 5  2. Criterion has been defined, highlighted; page 6  3. Properly labelled and highlighted; pages 8, 9,10  4. Mentioned about the studies done in India and its places and highlighted; pages 11, 12
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Authors have completed all codal formalities related to ethical issues</p>	Ethical approval was taken from the Institute's ethical committee letter no. -NEIGR/IEC/2019/0040. Page - 14