

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_83845
Title of the Manuscript:	NEOTROPICAL MICODIVERSITY OF MATO GROSSO/BRAZIL: ETHNOMICOLOGICAL ASPECTS AND SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript reviewed the neotropical microdiversity in Mato Grosso, Brazil from the aspects of history, environment and taxonomy. It has certain reference value for readers. It seems to be suitable for publications of this journal. However, the English language of your manuscript must be improved before resubmission. We strongly recommend that you seek help from colleagues who are proficient or native English speakers so that readers can clearly understand the objectives and results of the study. And,</p> <p>1. The author should restructure the abstract, especially the conclusion section. 2. The author spent a great deal of time introducing the history of mycology, which should not be the focus of this article. Therefore, please restructure this part. 3. In conclusion part, the authors should talk more about the future research directions and hotspots of neotropical microdiversity, based on their presentation in the article.</p>	<p>1. We appreciate the reviewer considerations. We believe that the summary is appropriate to what was proposed. We have made some adjustments for the best understanding.</p> <p>2. The importance of the emphasis on the history of mycology in Mato Grosso, Central Region of Brazil, matches historical reports and make it important because of the region does not currently present any records that demonstrates these relevant and important facts in the historical speech of Mato Grosso State. This is a first report on mycology in the central region of Brazil, and one of the first documents that emphasizes mycology and highlights the beginnings of this science, with approaches from the emergence and applicability of mycology. We find it important to realize this highlight and clearly show the knowledge and culture of the region within scientific research, emphasizing mycology. In the introduction itself an initial approach, emphasizes that knowledge about fungal diversity in the state of Mato Grosso is unknown; In this way, we will be important for these facts.</p> <p>3. A brief summary was added at the end conclusion referring to the future direction of mycology in the region, as suggested by the reviewer.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	