

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_82952
Title of the Manuscript:	JEHOVAH'S WITNESS PARENTS' EXPERIENCE WITH TRADITIONAL ANAEMIA TREATMENT FOR CHILDREN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did an Ethical Review Board approve this study since this is a sensitive study? If approved, provide the ethical approval number. 2. How was the sample size calculated? 3. What sampling technique was used in selecting the participants? 4. Results not well presented 5. Research questions not clearly stated and addressed 6. No clear conclusion because there were no clear research questions. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Here in Kisangani, the ethics committee is being set up. It has not been possible for us to collect these elements. From an ethical point of view, the approach consisted in seeking the free and informed consent of the Jehovah's Witness parents. 2. As this is a qualitative study, the sample size should not be large. 3. The study opted for the network or snowball sample, which consists of asking individuals recruited individually to suggest the names of other people they think should participate in the study. 4. Based on the chosen theme, we identified the sub-themes, then the categories and supported them with verbatim. 5. The study has only one research question presented in the introduction (What is the experience of Jehovah's Witness parents on the traditional treatment of anaemia in children?) 6. Finally, Jehovah's Witness parents had experience of the traditional treatment of children's anaemia and found it effective.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The script needs English editing. E.g. using Anemia and anaemia 2. The author should write in paragraphs but not hanging statements. 3. Do not use personal pronouns in manuscripts (e.g. we) 4. The level of satisfaction from parents was not clearly defined and stated. 5. Use Table number but not the term box. 6. Raw information was presented that was analysed. E.g. What was the average period by which the parents suspected or detected anaemia? What was the level of healthcare where the anaemia was detected? 7. What are some plants or traditional products the parents used in treating paediatric anaemia? 8. References In-text references should have only surnames. Some references are too old because current ones are available. The final references (Bibliography) are not consistent in following any style. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The misspelling of the concept of anaemia on the subject of the manuscript has already been corrected. 2. Authors presented an indirect discourse that reflects the respondents' own statements and that has not been modified in the data processing 3. The text has been reviewed 4. The level of parental satisfaction will be addressed in another publication that we are currently developing. The present study is essentially limited to the experience of Jehovah's Witness parents. 5. The text has been reviewed 6. Two months before the survey 7. This is primary health care 8. Plants or traditional products used by parents to treat paediatric anaemia are elements of a study under investigation.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	