

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_84839
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnosis of Trichotomies Vaginalis in reproductive age group Libyan Ladies in Benghazi City using OSOM rapid test
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work is of interest, however it requires adjustments in the content, so it is suggested to review and correct according to the specifications for the author and the journal's guidelines.</p> <p>It is recommended to review and adapt the correct use of medical terms.</p> <p>Writing, grammar, syntax, style, and proper citation (references) should be checked.</p> <p>Evaluate the congruence of the study objective, the results and the discussion; in addition to not repeating information that is invariably found in the introduction-results and discussion sections.</p> <p>It is likely that according to the guidelines of the journal for the author, the results and discussion section go together to highlight the most important of the study, which in turn should be contrasted with similar studies in your case.</p> <p>In the conclusions section, describe only what was assessed and found in the study.</p> <p>Review the correct way of writing the references, both in each of the paragraphs and at the end of the list of references, respecting the style and recommendations of the journal.</p> <p>References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [5].</p> <p>All references should follow the following style. Reference to a journal:</p> <p>1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-498.</p> <p>Reference to a book:</p> <p>5. Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK. Pharmacology. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 2003.</p>	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The results should be clearly described in a concise manner.</p> <p>The results for different parameters should be described under subheadings. The numbers of tables or figures should be mentioned in parentheses in the corresponding paragraphs, for a better understanding.</p> <p>The discussion should not repeat the results, but rather provide a detailed interpretation of the data. This must interpret the significance of the findings of the work. Citations should be given in support of the findings.</p> <p>There are many graphs and tables, it is suggested to present only the most important ones, and in the style (decrease the size).</p> <p>The words or terms that must be written in italics must be considered, since in some paragraphs they appear well and in others they do not.</p>	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
Optional/General comments	<p>Conclusions. They should briefly indicate the main findings of the study.</p> <p>The tables and figures must be explanatory enough to be understandable in or as a paragraph - text.</p> <p>In discussion-review and support all paragraphs with bibliography.</p> <p>Review and standardize the references section according to the guidelines of the journal - for authors (there are very large lists of authors - instead one of them uses et al.) and check that all of the references are included in the corresponding paragraphs</p> <p>Some details of the work were colored and are under consideration.</p>	Noted

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	