

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_78451
Title of the Manuscript:	Hemorrhagic Ovarian Cyst: “A Case Report”
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrgy.com/index.php/IJRRGY/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Article is very weak. Even though the topic is very simple it could have been written in such a way to gain interest. Seems not to be addressed to doctors but to normal people. Language and expression are poor and not scientific enough. In my opinion it is not publishable even with major revision.	Sir/ Madam the article is written in simple language keeping in mind the first year medical students and also that it must be predictable to common people. As in the light of COVID-19 pandemic people are reading articles online about various diseases. Keeping in mind your review I have made revisions in my article.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	