

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJRRD_85211
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between Periodontal Status, Periodontal Treatment Needs and BMI of Type 2 Diabetic Patients.
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	To make results more meaningful it is suggested to consult a statistician and use regression analysis and report Odds ratio The study type may need a change. It seems like an analytical cross-sectional study	The statistician reported that; Only simplified oral hygiene index and doing exercise is significant $p \leq 0.05$ Odds for CPITN code in oral hygiene was 0.63 CI (0.21, 1.0) and doing exercise reduction in CPITN code was - 0.79 CI(-1.30,- 0.21).
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract needs minor changes Table legend should mention which test was used for stats Table 3b has no p value. What stats was used here and what was p value.	All the minor changes have been corrected. Tests have been mentioned. P values have been put in table 3b. Chi-square test and fisher test were used
Optional/General comments	The manuscript seems well written and has few concerns overall.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues.