

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJRRD_85211
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between Periodontal Status, Periodontal Treatment Needs and BMI of Type 2 Diabetic Patients.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>1)Authors should state at the beginning of the materials and methods section the p-value they intend to use for the statistical analysis. Why are two different p-values (0.1 and 0.005) used?</b> <b>2) Table 3a, which p-value was used?</b> <b>3) The authors should report the questionnaire in a table or as a picture, so that the questions asked to the patients and the data collected from them can be seen.</b> <b>4) What type of periodontal probe was used to assess the CPITN index?</b> <b>5) Please add the limits of the study and future investigations</b>	The result of chi-square test of CPI of UPTH and LASUTH was 0.01, while that for TN was 0.005  Chi-Square Test and fisher tests were used  Questionnaire is attached.  The CPITN Probe C was used in this survey  Has been added to the manuscript.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1)The brackets "[ ]" should be set before the dot " [1]." 2) Some typos are present, please correct them.	This has been corrected. All the references in the manuscript have the dots after the brackets
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>  No ethical issues	