

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_84431
Title of the Manuscript:	Fibrous focal hyperplasia: A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrrd.com/index.php/IJRRD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Dear author(s), Thank you for submitting your valuable research work to IJRRD. It's a well written manuscript. However, it requires some modification.	Respected sir/ma'am Thank you for your valuable feedback it has been of great help in preparing the revised manuscript., the changes have been done according to the comments.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">In the first few lines of introduction (line nos-26-28) the author(s) first stated that- Oral cavity may act as one of the common sites for development of gingival overgrowths. And the second line states that "these localized enlargements like pyogenic granuloma, irritation fibroma, peripheral ossifying fibroma , peripheral calcifying fibroma have rare occurrence and mostly they occur in response to chronic irritation or stimuli. Kindly address/clarify the controversial statements. Also check line no 93 (in Discussion para)Kindly address the specific lesion with a single name instead of using multiple names for the lesion (for better readability and clarity of the text).Kindly quote the figure nos. at the appropriate places within the textSpelling mistake in line no. 22Reference styling needs to be corrected 64, 66Grammatical correction required in line no. 18There is no need of a reference in the conclusion (as conclusion is often the summary of an independent research work and hence does not require any scientific proof/comparison with the work of other researchers)Conclusion needs to be shortened and revised (appearing a bit elongated, more descriptive then it should be; points mentioned in the conclusion belong more to the case presentation/discussion part)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">It was mistakenly written as " rare occurrence" instead of " rare occurrence in aggressive forms"Specific name "irritation fibroma" is used instead of using mutiple names.Figure nos are being used at appropriate places in the text.Spelling mistake of fibrous is corrected.Reference style is corrected to Vancouver for all the references.Grammatical correction done.Conclusion is revised and shortened and written based on summary of the work done.
Optional/General comments	High-lighted parts need revision	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	