

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_88195
Title of the Manuscript:	Circulating level of platelet activation biomarker -Platelet factor 4, in sickle cell anaemia patients in Edo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <i>In Abstract introduction or background is missing.</i></li><li>• <i>In Abstract Result &amp; conclusion shows mismatch. Result shows reduced level &amp; conclusion mentioned increase.</i></li><li>• <i>Syntax, grammatical and typo/spelling / spacing errors need to be corrected along whole article.</i></li><li>• <i>In Table 1 data mismatch. (Read details in manuscript review comment)</i></li><li>• <i>Table 2 is not properly formatted. So difficult to read which data is for which row.</i></li><li>• <i>In methods mentioned that every group has 20 patients or controls. But in results n= is changed. CLARIFY / CORRECT accordingly in each group.</i></li><li>• <i>All References not properly written &amp; formatted as per journal guidelines. Revise &amp; Rewrite all the references as per Vancouver style. (Reference no 14, 15, 16, 18, 19 are not as per Vancouver style.)</i></li><li>• <i>Reference 16, 18, 19 have two reference combinedly written. SO WRITE &amp; CITE ACCRODINGLY.</i></li></ul>	<p>The format used is the recommended journal format. Correction has been made.</p> <p>All grammatical errors have been corrected. The tables 1 and 2 have been properly aligned.</p> <p>The statements have been reconciled.</p> <p>References have been put in order.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	-	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<i>Different type of fonts seen along whole manuscript suggesting copy-paste.</i>	Fonts have been synchronized.

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues.