

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_85817
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Citrus aurantium Fruit Juice on Bodyweight and Haematological Parameters of Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-The authors showed that oral administration of <i>C. aurantium</i> juice is capable of causing weight loss without any detrimental effect on the haematological parameters.</li><li>-Result also showed that administration of <i>C. aurantium</i> juice caused a significant (<math>p&lt;0.05</math>) weight reduction in the experimental animals from the first week through the twelfth week. Result further showed significant increase (<math>p&lt;0.05</math>) in haemoglobin, platelet, red blood cells (RBC) and white blood cell (WBC) counts of the animals with no significant difference in the mean corpuscular volume (MCV), the mean corpuscular haemoglobin (MCH) and mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration (MCHC) levels</li><li>-Materials and methods adopted is up to date.</li><li>-Language used is good.</li><li>-Cited relevant and recent bibliographic references.</li><li>-MS supported by 16 tables mentioning the results obtained from the study.</li><li>-On the whole the MS is found to be significant and accepted for publication.</li></ul>	Thanks for your wonderful observations and comments concerning the manuscript.

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	