

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_85194
Title of the Manuscript:	Characteristics of Haematological Indices in Hospitalized Covid 19 Positive Patients at Centre for Communicable Disease Control and Research (CCDCR), Federal Medical Centre, Asaba, Delta State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>TITLE:</b></p> <p>The long title may shorten. suggested title: Hematological Indices in Hospitalized Covid -19 Patients: Centre for Communicable Disease Control and Research (CCDCR), Federal Medical Centre, Asaba, Delta State, Nigeria.</p> <p><b>ABSTRACT:</b></p> <p>* 05 were considered statistically significant. This sentence should be removed from the methodology</p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION:</b> the first paragraph lacking reference. Rearrangement of reference 8&amp;9. The aim of this study is to assess some hematological indices of. Should be changed to: The aim of this study is to assess some hematological parameters and indices of.</p> <p><b>Material and method</b> lacking IRB</p> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.</b> Each item must be written SEPARATELY. results SHOULD BE DESCRIBED BRIEFLY FOLLOWED BY YOUR TABLES. Abbreviations should be mentioned under each table</p> <p><b>DISCUSSION</b></p> <p>It needs reconstruction. It lacks logic flow of ideas. IT needs interpretations of data</p> <p><b>CONCLUSION</b></p> <p>In conclusion, we observed reduced levels of lymphocytes, MCH and MCMC is corrected to: In conclusion, we observed reduced levels of lymphocytes, MCH and MCHC</p>	<p>The title has been shortened.</p> <p>This shows the confidence level. P&lt;0.05.</p> <p>Corrections have been made.</p> <p>The format used is as recommended by the editors of the journal.</p> <p>The results have been discussed in a step by step format and the authors' finding have been elaborately compared with the findings of previous related studies.</p> <p>Correction has been made.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	English editing correction	All errors have been corrected.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues.