

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90284
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on genetic association and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield attributing characters in maize (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled “Studies on genetic association and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield attributing characters in maize (<i>Zea mays</i> L.)”. The paper investigates the correlation and path analysis to identify component traits for developing high yielding varieties of maize. I wish to point out only some modifications to shape up the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The abstract of the manuscript is required to be upgraded.2. Keywords should be used as more informative words, not abbreviations. Maximum six informative words.3. Introduction: Your introduction does not include a proper literature review. In this section, the state of art in the field is too summary presented. Please add more information.4. At an end of the introduction, section authors should be added the objective of the work.5. In materials and method section, need to add more details.6. In the result section, need to add more discussion with recent references.7. The authors should be uniformed the units and symbols according to the journal format.8. The authors should check the reference section.9. This manuscript has some types of misspellings. It is imperative that these are	Noted and corrected

Review Form 1.6

	corrected and the language should be improved. 10. The conclusion of the manuscript is required to be upgraded.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	