

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90283
Title of the Manuscript:	Varietal Evaluation of Broccoli (Brassica Oleraceae var. Italica)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1/. The genotypes or varieties cannot be considered as treatments. Therefore the words treatment/treatments should be replaced. 2/. The size of the samples of the studied varieties is rather low – only 6 individuals. But if it is in concordance with the journal policy, then who can object. 3/. The pool size of varieties is also a bit smallish when taking into account the small sample size. But this is subjective matter and if it is in concordance with the journal policy, then who can object. 4/. The authors do not specify the source or origin of the plant material which they used. As which research institute, or seed producing company, or seed/germplasm bank etc.	The word treatments replaced to genotypes/varieties.
Minor REVISION comments	1/. Some linguistic errors were observed and corrected throughout the text (All of these corrections can be automatically applied if you in MS Word click on "REVIEW" section and select from the menu "APPLY CHANGES". If you prefer to apply them manually then the corrections are in RED font, and the deleted parts are in RED-crossed font). 2/. Since the study is local evaluation of the crop, may be it is good idea to specify in the title in which Indian states was done. But this is a bit subjective, so let the authors decide on that.	Applied all changes.
Optional/General comments	The research is valuable and welcomed since it strives to diversify the crop production market in such vast and precious country as India. It is also valuable that it gives idea which varieties are more economically most rewarding for the farmers who may wish to include this crop in their portfolio. Let's hope that the authors will explore more varieties in different agro-conditions which will help the farmers to make better decisions in regard to this crop production.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	