

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_90151
Title of the Manuscript:	GEOSPATIAL STATUS OF AVAILABLE POTASSIUM AND SULPHUR IN LOW BASE STATUS SOILS OF SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper lacks substantial literature support and scientific linkage in the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.</p> <p>Get additional literature support and properly linked it to your study.</p>	<p>In introduction part, the whole paragraph is been quoted by an author which I have mentioned at the end of the para.</p> <p>Those maps which I have pasted are developed by this study. The procedure for developing these maps have been clearly mentioned in manuscript and these maps demonstrate deficiency of potassium and sulphur as well as other parameters in red soils to support the study.</p> <p>The correlation study is not done by me but it is used to support my study.</p> <p>The scientific linkage I am trying to create is that how high rainfall leads to leaching of basic nutrients which are red soils which comes under alfisols order. Since the study in Jharkhand have been carried out in soils coming under alfisols also proves the deficiency of potassium which I also mentioned.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Check original Article	I have corrected the mentioned editing.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues