

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJPSS_89382
Title of the Manuscript:	Row spacing, levels of fertilizers and genotypes influencing growth, nutrient uptake and yield of sugarcane (<i>Saccharum spp.</i>) grown under calcareous soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>There is a coherence problem in the introduction part</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- It is better to state the problem justification orderly in separate paragraphs- Justify effect of spacing then effect of fertilizer and genotypes on sugarcane growth, nutrient uptake and yield.- Again I didn't see any elaboration on effects of cane management and genotypes on the growth and nutrient uptake. <p>There are unclear sentences which are highlighted. Please proved</p> <p>Reference style should be the same. You have put references as numbers, but there are names in some instances.</p>	<p>We have tried our best to remove coherence problem in the introduction part, if there will be any more problem then we will welcome your suggestions/comments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Problem justification done in ordered manner in separate paragraphs.• Justification done in an ordered manner as per your comment.• Respective part added. <p>Highlighted sentences are made clear. Names have been removed from references.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Grammar should be improved as I indicated on the text- Unrelated discussions to your research title should be removed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Tried to remove grammar mistake.• Title is as per our research.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		Thanks for your comments/suggestions. It will improve the manuscript. Further comments will be welcomed.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No ethical issues regarding this manuscript.