

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_88324
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of phosphorous and Boron levels on growth and yield of lentil (Lens culinaris L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is original . The text is well reasoned, the introduction is well written and very clear, along with its objectives. The methodology is adequate as it corresponds to the proposed objectives. All results in the table are calculated correctly. Research is relevant, it is a strategic crop: lentils and two nutrients, phosphorus and boron . In addition, the importance of proper soil management for the studied region aiming at productivity and healthy plants. Phosphorus is an essential macronutrient for the development and growth of plants and its inadequate supply is also one of the biggest limitations of production. Boron is a mineral element described as essential. This means that, in its absence, plants do not complete their physiological cycle. Thus, knowing better the forms, sources, time and ideal place of application are good strategies to manage these nutrients for the plants and obtain productive gains. The bibliography is updated and refers to authors with experience in the area.	Noted -----
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-----	-----
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-----	-----

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	