

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_88316
Title of the Manuscript:	N, P and K uptake by crop and weed as influenced by nutrient levels and weed management in mustard
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The execution of the research trial is very good and have enough data to get publication in the manuscript.</p> <p>However, the manuscript is written very very poorly.</p> <p>Starting from the abstract: The abstract is represented very poorly especially the results. It is very hard to understand the results from the abstract with the abbreviated form. The results in the abstract should be compact and at the last one conclusion line should be there.</p> <p>Simultaneously, the abstract is very large need to reduced.</p> <p>The introduction part is also very poorly written and need to be corrected whole introduction part with proper statements that linked to each other.</p> <p>Some of the major components like climate data is missing from the material method section.</p> <p>The results are also written very poorly. You have enough data but the representation of the data is very poor. Whole manuscript need to be written again from the starting.</p> <p>Simultaneously the representation of tables are also not good at all.</p>	<p>I hereby, have incorporated following all the corrections/suggestions mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abstract has been reduced in text & made it compact with conclusion at the last line, abbreviation form has been elaborated.2. Introduction has been written again with proper linkage with each sentence.3. Climate data has been incorporated in material & method section.4. Results/ representation of tables was again corrected and written in well mannered.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abbreviation needs to elaborates once and then can be used throughout manuscript</p> <p>You are moving from one statement to another without any connection.</p> <p>Is there any proper explanation of this or exact data from some researcher.</p> <p>You can't told this without giving any data from the previous researcher.</p> <p>The statements needs to be supported by the proper citations.</p> <p>This is right but need proper citation to support this.</p> <p>You are throwing statement here and there. Every crucial statement should be supported by the proper citation which is missing in whole manuscript</p> <p>Write it The sources of nutrient need to be given as neutral pH, medium, low or high OC lik</p> <p>Abbreviation needs to be given once and then can be used in the whole manuscript.e wise for all parameters.</p> <p>The data on the climate is also missing.</p> <p>Does you have any proper support for this statement.</p> <p>Table need to be collaborated</p> <p>The results are need to be supported with proper discussion not only saying similar to previous results.</p>	<p>I hereby, have incorporated all the corrections/suggestions mentioned in the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Abbreviation have elaborated once and then used throughout manuscript.2. Proper citations have been added wherever needed in the manuscript.3. Sources of nutrient have been given. Fertility of soil has been mentioned as neutral pH, medium, low or high OC for all parameters. The data on the climate has also been added.4. Tables have been collaborated & results have been supported with proper discussion/citations.
Optional/General comments	Should conduct English editing and grammar check.	English editing and grammar checking have been conducted by me.

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	