

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_87580
Title of the Manuscript:	Fortification of organic manures with iron on nutrient release characteristics
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	What is the take-home message? The researcher to clearly give recommendation based on the research findings	As per the suggestion, all the corrections were carried out and i clearly give the explanation based on my research findings.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the abstract the aim of the study should be aligned with the title, which is which the enrichment of the iron sulphate or manure. The statement are contradicting Which chemical fertilizers were used? The work is on organic manure The order of data presentation should be revised: i.e. the researcher should present the data, describe it and then discuss it in that order. The results presented in table 2 are hanging.....the table has not been referred to in the document	The statement was corrected and it is enrichment of organic manure with iron sulphate. The work is on enriching the organic manures by using iron fertilizer (Ferrous sulphate). The data presentation order is revised and the tables were aligned.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Figure one the researcher could consider to fill fields using patterns as opposed to colour	Based on the opinion, patterns were changed in the figure one.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No ethical issues in the manuscript.	No , ethical issues in the manuscript