

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_87567
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND ORGANIC CARBON ALONG THE DISTANCE GRADIENT FROM SEA COAST IN COASTAL SOILS OF NORTHERN SAURASHTRA REGION OF GUJARAT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<div>Reviewer’s comment</div> <div><div><div>- The study demonstrates relevance in chemical composition analyzes of coastal regions of India, adapting to the International Journal of Plant and Soil Science scope.</div><div>It will be necessary to modify the sub-item ‘2.1 Collection and preparation of soil samples’, as it is identical to the paragraph described in the published work. Even speculating that the two works involve the same research group, The ‘Material and methods’ part of the abstract should also be modified for the same reasons.</div><div>- Put the objective of the research after the last paragraph of ‘Introduction’.</div><div>- Tables should be formatted only with horizontal borders, without vertical lines.</div><div>- What would be the justifications for choosing only the three regions “Jamnagar District, Devbhumi Dwarka District and Porbandar” as a highlight of the analyzes, both in the text and by the bold demarcation in the tables? These are data that could be explored in ‘Introduction’ (exploring the context of the regions), ‘Material and methods’, and further explored in ‘Results/Discussion’.</div><div>- Follow the reference model according to the Author’s Guidelines (name of the journals with no italics and abbreviated): 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.</div></div></div> <div>- Best of my knowledge, I have revised my manuscript and corrected all the corrections, which are mentioned in the reviewer’s comment.</div>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<div><div><div>- Replace all “Southern saurashtra” for “Southern Saurashtra”.</div><div>- Replace all “Northen Saurashtra” and “North Saurashtra” for “Northern Saurashtra”.</div><div>- “upto” for “up to”.</div><div>- “...distance from sea coast...” – from (last phrase of ‘3.4 Soil Organic Carbon’ and last phrase of ‘Conclusion’).</div></div><div><u>Abstract</u> – Follow the Author’s Guidelines. Aims Study design Place and duration of study Methodology Results Conclusion</div><div>-Add ‘Acknowledgements’, ‘Competing Interests’, ‘Author’s Contribution’, ‘Consent and Ethical Approval’ (if applicable).</div></div> <div>I have revised my manuscript and corrected all the corrections.</div>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	