

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_87251
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF COW DUNG DOSE AND SOWING DENSITY ON THE AGRONOMIC PERFORMANCE OF A COTTON VARIETY (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> L.) GROWN IN THE BERE REGION IN THE NORTH-WEST OF CÔTE D'IVOIRE.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is good, supported by new research methods and complete data presented: growth and yield of cotton and supported by the new reference. There are several writing deficiencies that need to be fixed: Writing the manuscript is adjusted to the guidelines for the author.</p> <p>Abstract: The sentence structure in the abstract needs to be rearranged: Aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results, and conclusion. kg.ha⁻¹ international unit writing in english</p> <p>Material and methods: Line 128: Measurement methods and parameters studied: preferably not in a table, but in the form of a sentence kg.ha⁻¹ international unit writing in english</p> <p>Results international unit writing in english (kg.ha⁻¹)</p> <p>Discussion It is necessary to add the results of the new research as a comparison so that the discussion is more detailed</p> <p>Acknowledgments Acknowledgments are not available yet, the acknowledgments of people who provided assistance in manuscript preparation, funding for research, etc.</p> <p>Reference consistency of reference writing</p>	<p>-Measurement methods and parameters studied. In this part, we would like to maintain the table because for us it allows us to present the work well.</p> <p>- The results of the soil analysis are not presented in the form of a table because in this study, we have shown only the main elements essential to the cotton plant. Presenting all the mineral elements in a table would be too much for an article.</p> <p>- As far as bibliographical references are concerned, we have taken all your suggestions into account.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	