

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86499
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of phosphorus and zinc on yield and economics of Pearl millet (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments -ARRANGEMENT OF MANUSCRIPT. -INCOMPLETE ABSTRACT.	-Tables should come under Result and discussion not under References. -Aim or purpose of the study, measured attributes of the crop during the experiment, comparison of highest and lowest results obtained and the conclusion of the study was not mentioned in the abstract. Hence should be stated to have a complete abstract.	Tables has been changed and abstract has been stated.
Minor REVISION comments -ADDITION TO METHODOLOGY -CITATION	-Nature of soil and it pH can be described and also sources of the zinc and phosphorous used can be mentioned. -paragraph 1 and 3 of the introductory part should be cited as the information is not stated by the writer. Also some references were listed in the reference section but not cited in the main text, such as (Satyatham..2019) ,(Raskar,99) etc.	Nature of soil and ph and sources of phosphorus and zinc has been mentioned. Not cited has been removed
Optional/General comments RECOMMENDATION	Some references and information can be updated to current findings as the research is current and same field has been worked on in recent years.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	The necessary things have been changed.