

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_86047
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of different levels of Nitrogen and Sulphur on production and Economics of Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This study aimed to investigate the impact of nitrogen and phosphorus on sunflower productivity. Which highlights the importance of the study.</p> <p>Overall, the article is interesting. The introduction is well written and addresses the main issues of the study. The conclusion of the study is also good. However, the MM and results and discussion are the most critical parts of the manuscript. The MM needs to be better presented. Highlight the analyses, especially the statistical analyses. Despite being well written, the results and discussion are supported by data that have not been subjected to statistical tests. Therefore, it is not possible to affirm that a certain relation of N and S was better in relation to another without this fact being constant by an adequate test.</p> <p>I suggest that the paper be revised again by the authors for a new evaluation.</p> <p>My recommendation is major revisions.</p>	I agreed to the reviewers comments and made changes in results and discussion.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	NO