

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_85719
Title of the Manuscript:	Competitive behaviour of new cultivars of Indian mustard on weeds in lower Siwaliks of North-West Himalayas
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Update Indian's position in rapeseed production globally.</li><li>2. All un-common abbreviations to be written out fully at the first mention.</li><li>3. Recast introductory statement under RESULTS AND DISCUSSION to minimize the similarity value. let us see the author(s) reflect it by discussing the results by relating the outcome with what has been reported in the past.</li></ol>	Need ful done as per all comments of reviewers
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Some words have been added and some have been deleted.	Needful done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author(s) have approached weed control in rapeseed mustard cultivation using differences in the competitiveness of cultivars; this approach has succeeded in other crops such as erect and branching cassava varieties.	Needful done

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No