

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_85719
Title of the Manuscript:	Competitive behaviour of new cultivars of Indian mustard on weeds in lower Siwaliks of North-West Himalayas
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1."The varieties NRCDR 2 and Navgold were found to the most competitive cultivars of Indian mustard crop in suppressing the weeds at different crop growth stage at 60 DAS"- clarify this, whether at 60 DAS or at all the stages of crop growth? 2. What is the design of experiment used? In some places it is Split plot design and in some places it is factorial RBD 3. Which type of data transformation is used and why should be specified. 4. Nothing is mentioned about the interaction effects.	Needful correction done
Minor REVISION comments		All the genuine suggestions of reviewers have been incorporated
Optional/General comments		Observation: The data has not been pooled as we have one variety CS 56 replaced during the second year of experimentation by NRCDR 601. The variety has been replaced by the Directorate of rapeseed Mustard Research , Bharatpur where the PI of the AICRP project can alter the treatments based on his observations and feedback data from other preliminary trials separately.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	