

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_85690
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of multi-function disintegrator grinding on the particle size of Garcinia indica and Garcinia cambogia rind powder
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author had made a nice attempt for reducing the particle size using a commonly available particle disintegrator. Introduction, materials and methods was clearly stated. Result obtained was well explained. The discussion for the result can be much more better. It could be cited with more literature review. As the particle size was not reduced, the author can suggest the application of the study, if any. The plate 1 was constructed with images A- F and it was not discussed in the result. No conclusion was given for the study.	The discussion has been further elaborated and corroborated with the previous studies in the revised manuscript. The discussion to the effect of utility of the ultra-fine powder has been brought in in the revised Manuscript. The plate-1, as suggested by the reviewer, has been quoted in the results-discussion chapter.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	