

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_85673
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of tillage methods, farmyard manure and potassium rates on cassava yield and root quality in Kagera region, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript needs extensive revision for language and grammar.</p> <p>It appears that you have improperly spaced some punctuation, consider adding a space.</p> <p>It seems that there is an article usage problem here verb/objectives</p> <p>Relevant reference is not incorporated.</p> <p>Abstract – Results and conclusion need to be clearly revised.</p>	<p>The manuscript has been extensively revised for language and grammar using the American English Spelling and Grammar. Therefore;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improperly spaced punctuations have properly spaced• The missed determiners, propositions, articles, verbs and comma have been correctly inserted as recommended• Improper tenses and phrases have been well rephrased• Relevant references have properly incorporated.• Results and conclusion in the abstract have clearly revised
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract to be in shorter summarised with highlighted results and clear methods</p> <p>It seems that this sentence contains a series of three or more words, phrases, or clauses. Consider inserting a comma to separate the elements.</p> <p>The comma may be separating the subject and verb in your sentence. Consider removing it.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Abstract has been revised, whereby, the results have been summarized and the methods have clearly stated.• The sentences have been rephrased• Comma(s) have been properly inserted or removed from where they were improperly inserted.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The reference number to be properly inserted with the reference number in the article by serial number</p> <p>The noun phrase is missing a determiner before it. Consider adding an article.</p> <p>If any consistency, consider replacing it with the American English spelling.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The reference numbers have properly inserted by following serial number• The missed articles have properly inserted before the nouns as recommended• American English spelling and grammar have been used to spell out improperly spelled sentences, phrases, nouns and verbs• The paper has been properly revised and all incorporated comments have been highlighted in yellow

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	